UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,152	05/07/2002	Satoshi Takagi	450101-03306	8644
	7590 11/12/200 AWRENCE & HAUG	9	EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AV	ENUE- 10TH FL.		BAIG, SAHAR A	
NEW YORK, N	NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/009,152	TAKAGI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SAHAR A. BAIG	2424	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	4 1 4 14 4		
	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shat forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	in which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a considered.	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).			·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
<ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ul>		•	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (F13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.			
/Christopher Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424	/Sahar A Baig/ Examiner, Art Unit 2424		

Continuation of 13. Other: On Page 3 of the Remarks section, the Applicant argues that the disclosure of Meyerzon fails to teach "the archiving means issues and archives a tag pointing the archiving means that archives the metadata that explains the essence." Examiner respectfully disagrees. First, Meyerzon sets forth the term "properties" as tags (Col. 10 line 11), then it is taught that the properties (tags) inserted can be used by an indexing (archiving) engine that can serve search queries that return only documents (essences) of interest (Co. 11 lines33-36). Therefore Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitation.